

Facilities Task Force May 10, 2018 7:30 a.m. – 9:30 a.m. CEC – Assembly Room

Mission: Each student is highly educated, prepared for leadership and service, and empowered for success as a citizen in a global community.

## **Meeting Minutes**

## Attendance:

**Committee Members Present:** Dr. John Stanford (ex-officio member), Pari Sabety, Jim Negron, Christopher Barton, Lela Boykin, Debby Descheneau, Reverend Dorian Grant, Stephen Hardwick, Phil Hayes, David Horn, April Knight, Bhuwan Pyakurel, Jerry Saunders, Sr., Anne Stewart

**Committee Members Absent:** Reverend Tim Ahrens, Lois Carson, Kristi Jared, Jibril Mohamed, Anahi Vinazza-Moore, Nana Watson

Others: Joe Brown, Dr. Erik Roush, Maria Stockard, Scott Varner, Alex Trevino

Meeting called to order at 6:01 p.m.

Pari Sabety and Jim Negron welcomed committee members and provided an overview of the agenda.

The minutes from the April 27<sup>th</sup> meeting were reviewed by the committee and the following corrections were made:

• List members who made and seconded the motion to accept Phase I Decision Framework – not all three Phases.

David Horn motioned that the April 27<sup>th</sup> meeting minutes be approved with the corrections. The motion was seconded by April Knight. The motion carried.

Joe Brown and Dr. Erik Roush, Co-chairs of the Administrative Space Workgroup presented the Administrative Site Decision Framework. There was discussion amongst the members at the end of the presentation. The following are some of the questions, answers, requests and comments from the discussion:

- It was suggested that unutilized space and acreage be included with the information on the administrative sites.
- It was requested that the capacity for former school buildings as if they were going to be used for academic purposes at 100% be provided.
- Provide capacity data on mixed use sites if they were converted to classrooms.
- There is no outstanding debt on administrative sites.

The Columbus City School District does not discriminate based upon sex, race, color, national origin, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity/expression, ancestry, familial status, genetic information or military status with regard to admission, access, treatment or employment. This policy is applicable in all district programs and activities.

- When considering Market Value vs. Average Replacement Cost to include available vacant government buildings.
- Determine what the space utilization is with the data centers. Uptime Institute can provide benchmarks.
- The Ohio Schools Facilities Commission assessment tool is used to determine the facility condition ratios. The ratio is the same for school buildings and administrative buildings.
- What is the definition of Building envelope?
- It was clarified that Operation Fix-it is only for school buildings, not administrative sites.

Lela Boykin made a motion to approve the Phase I Screening Criteria for Administrative Sites. Jerry Saunders seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Scott Varner, Executive Director of Strategic Communications and Public Relations presented the decision framework process for Phase 2 (Qualitative Data) and Phase 3 (Impact Data) Screening Criteria that will be used to determine potential recommendations by the Facilities Task Force. The criteria and screening process was derived from the work of the Internal School Work Group. Mr. Varner stated that the committee would be asked to approve Phase 2 and Phase 3 Screening Criteria after the committee discussion.

There was discussion amongst the members at the end of the presentation. The following are some of the questions, answers, requests and comments from the discussion:

- It was clarified that the Safety and Access modifier referred to the safety and access of students getting to and from school.
- School climate and discipline is not a consideration.
- Define efficacy of education programming.
- Provide academic performance and a list of schools and their academic programs.
- Provide the modifiers and other variables used by the Internal Workgroup for Phase I.

During the discussion it was determine that more time and information was needed before the Task Force could move forward with a vote. Ms. Sabety requested that the staff review and assess recommendation as to how and if academic performance should be considered. Mr. Negron stated the agenda for the next meeting was very robust and that the Task Force should consider extending the meeting by one hour.

Maria Stockard provided a brief preview of the May 25<sup>th</sup> Agenda.

Mr. Saunders made a motion to extend the next meeting by one hour. The motion was seconded by Ms. Descheneau. The motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Stanford, Ms. Sabety, and Mr. Negron delivered closing remarks.

Meeting adjourned at 7:59 p.m.